UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

Jonathan Daniel Clements,
Appellant,

v.

State of Arkansas, et al.,
Appellees.

Case No. 25-3497

MOTION FOR CONTEMPT AND ENFORCEMENT OF APPELLATE JURISDICTION

COMES NOW the Appellant, Jonathan Daniel Clements, in propria persona and as Sovereign Executor of ECC-TRUST-JDC-005, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court to issue a finding of contempt against the Booneville District Court (Logan County, Arkansas) for willful interference with the appellate process, violation of federal oversight, and obstruction of jurisdiction following this Court's sua sponte acceptance of the present case.

I. GROUNDS FOR CONTEMPT

This Court has already taken up the matter **sua sponte**, and is in active review of the jurisdictional violations and procedural misconduct identified in:

> Appellant's **Judicial Notice and Request for Emergency Review**, filed January 10, 2026
>
> Appellant's **Sworn Statement of Facts**, attached therein
>
> Appellant's **Mandamus Petition and Notice of Compulsion for Emergency Judicial Oversight**, submitted January 10, 2026

Despite the active jurisdiction of this Court and pending emergency review, the Booneville District Court has:

> **Maintained and/or initiated enforcement of a fraudulent Failure to Appear (FTA)**, despite uncontested record that Appellant appeared on the date given by the court.
>
> **Refused to accept federal filings** and ecclesiastical documents, including trust jurisdiction notices, in direct violation of filing access rights.
>
> **Instructed court clerks to obstruct the record**, verbally refusing to allow even docket verification or submission of protective filings.

Acted in direct conflict with this Court's supervisory authority, continuing proceedings that are now under appeal and under writ review.

This constitutes contempt of the appellate court's jurisdiction, obstruction of equitable remedy, and ongoing retaliation against a federally recognized party under active review.

II. LEGAL BASIS

This Motion is brought under:

28 U.S.C. § 1651 (All Writs Act): to protect this Court's jurisdiction from encroachment.

Chambers v. NASCO, Inc., 501 U.S. 32 (1991): affirming the inherent authority of federal courts to issue sanctions or contempt findings for bad faith or interference.

Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 21: permitting extraordinary relief to preserve the integrity of appellate review.

Booneville's ongoing actions meet the federal threshold for **sanctionable interference** with appellate review, especially given the documented pattern of retaliation, silence, and manipulation of schedule and filing access.

III. RELIEF REQUESTED

Appellant respectfully requests this Court to:

Enter a finding of contempt against the Booneville District Court for violating the appellate jurisdiction now in effect.

Issue an immediate stay of all local enforcement actions, including but not limited to the fraudulent FTA or any associated warrant or detainer.

Compel the lower court to provide a written explanation for the conflict in dates and the refusal to accept filings.

Preserve the integrity of the appellate record and protect the Appellant from further retaliation or misrepresentation by any party under review.

IV. CONCLUSION

This Court's sua sponte action was not symbolic it was jurisdictional. Booneville's refusal to comply with that reality places it in contempt of federal review, and enforcement is now required to preserve not only Appellant's equity rights, but the credibility of appellate supervision itself.

Respectfully submitted this 10th day of January, 2026.

/s/ Jonathan Daniel Clements
Appellant, in propria persona
Sovereign Executor, ECC-TRUST-JDC-005

Pro Nunc Tunc In Perpetuity Ad Infinitum